

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
"Kamat Towers" 7th Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001
E-mail: spio-gsic.goa@nic.in Website: www.scic.goa.gov.in

Appeal No.173/2025/SCIC

Vidyesh Pilgaonkar,
S/o Vilas Pilgaonkar,
R/o. Bld A-2, Flat F-4,
Radha Estate Co-op Society,
St. Paul, Taleigao,
Panaji-Goa 403002. -----Appellant
V/s

1.The Public Information Officer,
O/o. Dy. Suptd. Of Police,
Headquarters (North),
Porvorim-Goa 403521.

2.The First Appellate Authority,
O/o. Superintendent of Police North,
North District Headquarters,
Porvorim-Goa 403521. -----Respondents

Shri. ARAVIND KUMAR H. NAIR - State Chief Information Commissioner, GSIC

Relevant Facts Emerging from the Appeal

RTI application filed on	22/01/2025
PIO replied on	29/01/2025
First Appeal filed on	01/03/2025
First Appellate order on	16/05/2025
<i>Second appeal received on</i>	<i>25/07/2025</i>
<i>First hearing held on</i>	<i>17/09/2025</i>
<i>Decided on</i>	<i>14/10/2025</i>

Information sought and background of the Appeal

1. Shri. Vidyesh Pilgaonkar filed an application dated 22/01/2025 under RTI Act, 2005 to the PIO, Office of the S.P, North Goa seeking information at 8 points from the Departmental Inquiry Section with regard to the preliminary enquiries.
2. In response to the RTI application, PIO/Dy. S.P Headquarters, North vide letter dated 29/01/2025 replied furnishing the figure (54) of

preliminary enquiries which were concluded as "*Proved*" and clarifying that no recommendations were made in the said 54 preliminary enquiries.

3. Being aggrieved by the reply/information furnished by the PIO, Appellant filed first appeal dated 01/03/2025 before the First Appellate Authority stating that he is not satisfied with the information furnished by the Respondent PIO. FAA(S.P North Goa) vide order dated 29/04/2025 directed the Respondent PIO to provide information in respect of Point No.7 and 8 to the Appellant if available on record, free of cost.
4. Following the order passed by the FAA directing the PIO to furnish information in respect of Point No.7 and 8 of the RTI application dated 01/03/2025, Respondent PIO vide letter dated 16/05/2025 replied to the Appellant that APIO/Administrative Branch, North, Porvorim has submitted that the information sought at Point No.7 and 8 is not available on record.
5. Subsequently, Appellant preferred Second appeal dated 25/07/2025 before the Commission praying for direction to the Respondent PIO to furnish information sought under Point No.2 to 8 of the RTI application.

FACTS EMERGING IN COURSE OF HEARING

6. Pursuant to the filing of the present appeal, parties were notified fixing the matter for hearing on 17/09/2025 for which Appellant present and the Respondent PIO was represented by Shri. Manguesh Mahale, PSI, Porvorim Police Station, who filed submission of Respondent PIO supported by Annexure with additional copy for the Appellant. Matter adjourned to 14/10/2025 with the direction to the Respondent PIO to be present for the next hearing.
7. Matter took up for hearing on 14/10/2025 for which Appellant and Respondent PIO present. Respondent PIO submitted in writing that

complying with the direction issued by the Hon'ble Commission, APIO was directed to furnish information in respect of the details of cases from 01/01/2007 to 31/12/2024 wherein multiple enquiries were initiated in the 54 preliminary inquiries conducted by the Administration Branch O/o S.P North, Porvorim and APIO replied that no multiple inquiries were carried out in these 54 cases.

Respondent PIO further submitted that pertaining to the rest of the points in the RTI application, appropriate reply/information has been provided to the Appellant.

DECISION

Since the Respondent PIO vide written submission dated 17/09/2025 has furnished detailed reply to the original RTI application dated 01/03/2025 of the Appellant and vide submission dated 14/10/2025 clarified that no multiple inquiries have been carried out by the Administration Branch of the Office of the S.P, North Goa in 54 preliminary inquiries initiated and concluded as "*Proved*", Respondent PIO is not in a position to furnish more information in respect of the core RTI queries of the Appellant at Point No.1,4 and 7.

Considering these facts, Commission disposed off the present appeal and proceedings stand closed.

- Pronounced in open Court.
- Notify the parties.

Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sd/-

(ARAVIND KUMAR H. NAIR)
State Chief Information Commissioner, GSIC

